

Team Name:		Judge Name:		
CRITERA	LEVEL OF PROFICIENCY			SCORE
	EXPERT (4 - 5 points)	PROFICIENT (2 - 3 points)	LOW PROFICIENCY (0 - 1 point)	
<b>Specific Category</b> - How well did the project fit into your category?	The <b><u>project aligns perfectly with the specific category.</u></b> It demonstrates a deep understanding of the category and excels within it.	The <b><u>project fits well within the category.</u></b> It demonstrates a good understanding of the category's context and goals.	The <b><u>project poorly aligns with the specific category.</u></b> It may not adequately address the category's context.	
<b>Entrepreneurship</b> - The project's potential beyond the hackathon: does this project have commercial viability? Projects with the greatest entrepreneurship are not necessarily the projects with the highest potential profitability, but that satisfy consumer needs.	The project demonstrates a <b><u>clear understanding</u></b> of market needs, potential customers, and has a well-defined strategy for commercialization. It addresses a significant problem and offers a unique and sustainable solution.	The project shows <b><u>potential for commercial viability</u></b> , but there may be gaps in understanding the market or the business model. It addresses a relevant problem and provides a solution that meets consumer needs.	The project <b><u>lacks a clear path for commercialization</u></b> , and there is limited evidence of understanding market dynamics or consumer needs. The solution may not be well-aligned with potential users.	
<b>Ingenuity</b> - This incorporates creativity and originality. Projects with high ingenuity, should be unique, and solve a problem in a novel and effective way.	The project demonstrates <b><u>exceptional creativity and originality</u></b> , offering a solution that is truly innovative and sets it apart from others. It addresses the problem in a way that hasn't been explored before.	The project shows creativity and originality, providing a unique approach to solving the problem. It <b><u>introduces some novel elements that distinguish it from common solutions.</u></b>	The project <b><u>lacks creativity and originality</u></b> , presenting a solution that is commonplace.	
<b>Completeness</b> - How much did the team do with their time? Projects that score highly should be polished and fully functional.	The project is <b><u>polished, fully functional, and showcases a comprehensive implementation.</u></b> All planned features are successfully integrated, and the solution is well-tested.	The project is <b><u>functional and mostly complete</u></b> , but there may be some minor issues or missing features. It demonstrates a solid effort in implementation.	The project is <b><u>incomplete</u></b> , with significant functionality missing or major issues affecting its performance. It lacks polish and refinement.	
<b>Expo Quality</b> - Was the expo demonstration thorough and convincing? Well presented projects are compelling and have complete and rehearsed demonstrations.	The <b><u>expo demonstration is thorough, convincing, and engaging.</u></b> The team effectively communicates the project's features, benefits, and impact. The demonstration is well-rehearsed and leaves a lasting impression.	The <b><u>expo demonstration is good but may lack some clarity or polish.</u></b> The team effectively presents the project but could improve in terms of engagement or completeness.	The <b><u>expo demonstration is unclear, unconvincing, or poorly executed.</u></b> The team struggles to communicate the project's value and may not effectively showcase its features.	
<b>Complexity</b> - Was the project of a high technical difficulty? High scoring teams are ambitious in their undertakings.	The project <b><u>tackles complex technical challenges</u></b> , demonstrating advanced skills and a high level of technical proficiency. It pushes the boundaries of what is commonly achieved in the given context.	The project <b><u>involves some technical challenges, showcasing a reasonable level of complexity.</u></b> It goes beyond basic implementation and requires a solid technical understanding.	The project <b><u>lacks technical complexity, and the implementation is relatively straightforward.</u></b> It may not demonstrate advanced technical skills or innovation.	
<b>Judges' Impressions</b> - Did the project stand out to you? What was your overall impression?	The project leaves a lasting and highly positive impression on the judges. It stands out as exceptional, addressing the criteria well. The judges are <b><u>impressed by the overall quality, innovation, and potential of the project.</u></b>	The <b><u>project makes a positive impression</u></b> , meeting the criteria adequately. Judges recognize the effort and quality put into the project, though there may be areas for improvement.	The project <b><u>fails to make a strong positive impression on the judges.</u></b> It may lack the qualities that would set it apart or leave a memorable mark.	